

**REMARKS**

This case has been carefully reviewed and analyzed in view of the Official Action dated 22 September 2004. Responsive to the rejections made in the Official Action, Claim 1 has been amended to clarify the combination of elements which form the invention of the subject Patent Application. Additionally, Claims 4 and 5 have been cancelled by this Amendment.

In the Official Action, the Examiner rejected Claims 1 – 4 under 35 U.S.C. § 103, as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art in view of Wang et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,194,708. However, the Examiner kindly indicated that claim 5 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Accordingly, Claim 1 has been amended to incorporate the subject matter of Claims 4 and 5 therein. Thus, Claim 5 has been effectively rewritten in independent form including all the limitations of the base claim, Claim 1, and the only intervening claim, Claim 4. Thus, Claim 1 and the claims dependent thereon should now be allowable.

MR1957-814

Serial Number: 10/734,268

Response to Office Action Dated 22 September 2004

It is now believed that the subject Patent Application has been placed in condition for allowance, and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,  
FOR ROSENBERG, KLEIN & LEE



David I. Klein  
Registration #33,253

Dated: 28 Dec. 2004

Rosenberg, Klein & Lee  
Suite 101  
3458 Ellicott Center Drive  
Ellicott City, MD 21043  
(410) 465-6678

Customer No.  
04586